문태준

굵게 되어있는 것이 다 error임/ 스펠링, 불필요하게 길게쓴 표현, 어색한 표현

Coal is an **encredibly** important resource for **human mankind** while also being extremely harmful to the Earth. The professor talks about why the three solutions presented **for emissions emitted when coal is burnt**.  
To begin with, converting coal into a gas is inefficient. Even though **the syngas, the name of the converted coal**, generates almost no emissions when burned, there is a big drawback. In the process of making coal into **a syngas**, **fossil fuel to heat it up and a large amount of water is required**. The process of making coal into a gas pollutes **air and wastes water at the same time**.  
Moreover, static electricity doesn't have much effect. Although static **elctricity** does remove the pollutants, it only removes soot and dust, but not carbon. It actually is carbon **which destroys the environment the most**, so static electricity won't be much use **to conserving nature** unless it can get rid of carbon too.  
Lastly, there are fabric filters. **Fabric filter involves** tightly woven fabric bags that collect liquid and solid waste. The fabric filter itself doesn't have a big problem, but it is too expensive **for it to be used regularly**. It can only be used **up to 3 years**, but is too costly to change **it every 3 years**. Also, fans are needed to lead the **wastes to the filters**, which means extra **fee for operating it**.  
In conclusion, though converting coal into a gas, using static electricity, and fabric filters may seem to be a good solution **for coal's polluting the nature**, each of these has significant drawbacks **for it to be used**.